

**SUPREME COURT MINUTES  
MONDAY, JUNE 8, 1998  
SAN FRANCISCO, CALIFORNIA**

6th Dist.      Leslie Augustin, Petitioner  
H018561          v.  
S070695      Santa Cruz County Superior Court, Respondent  
People, Real Party in Interest  
Application for stay and petition for review DENIED.

S014755      People, Respondent  
v.  
Donald Ray Millwee, Appellant  
The time for granting or denying a rehearing in the above cause is hereby extended to and including August 16, 1998, or the date upon which a rehearing is either granted or denied, whichever occurs first.

Orders were filed in the following matters extending the time within which to grant or deny a petition for review to and including the date indicated, or until review is either granted or denied:

A076593/S069596      Arthur Mitchell v. Asbestos Corporation Limited - July 16, 1998.

B106373/S069469      Venus An Riste et al. v. A.G. Daimler-Benz et al. - July 10, 1998.

B107691/S069475      Shirley Fuller v. Bethany Apostolic Church et al. - July 10, 1998.

B110364/S069614      Fireman's Fund Insurance Company et al. v. American Casualty Company of Reading - July 16, 1998.

B119346/S069461      In re Irina Kats on Habeas Corpus; People v. Irina Kats - July 13, 1998.

D026393/S069539      People v. Angel Manuel Armigo et al. - July 15, 1998.

E022280/S069491      Chaim Magnum v. Riverside County Superior Court; People, RPI - July 13, 1998.

H015858/S069473 In re Alvia Milton York on Habeas Corpus - July 10, 1998.

S009169 People, Respondent

v.

Martin James Kipp, Appellant

On application of appellant and good cause appearing, it is ordered that the time to serve and file appellant's opening brief is extended to and including August 3, 1998.

S012279 People, Respondent

v.

David Allen Lucas, Appellant

On application of appellant and good cause appearing, it is ordered that the time to serve and file appellant's opening brief is extended to and including August 7, 1998.

S022224 People, Respondent

v.

Darren Cornelius Stanley, Appellant

On application of appellant and good cause appearing, it is ordered that the time to serve and file appellant's opening brief is extended to and including July 20, 1998.

S024599 People, Respondent

v.

Michael Lamont Jones, Appellant

On application of appellant and good cause appearing, it is ordered that the time to serve and file appellant's opening brief is extended to and including July 6, 1998.

No further extensions of time will be granted.

S026408 People, Respondent

v.

Franklin Lynch, Appellant

On application of appellant and good cause appearing, it is ordered that the appellant is granted to and including August 10, 1998, to request correction of the record on appeal. Counsel for appellant is ordered to notify the Clerk of the Supreme Court in writing as soon as the act as to which the Court has granted an extension of time has been completed.

S029551 People, Respondent

v.

Joe Edward Johnson, Appellant

On application of appellant and good cause appearing, it is ordered that the appellant is granted to and including July 27, 1998, to request correction of the record on appeal. Counsel for appellant is ordered to notify the Clerk of the Supreme Court in writing as soon as the act as to which the Court has granted an extension of time has been completed.

S033360 People, Respondent

v.

Keone Wallace, Appellant

On application of appellant and good cause appearing, it is ordered that the appellant is granted to and including August 7, 1998, to request correction of the record on appeal. Counsel for appellant is ordered to notify the Clerk of the Supreme Court in writing as soon as the act as to which the Court has granted an extension of time has been completed.

S034110 People, Respondent

v.

Mark Christopher Crew, Appellant

On application of appellant and good cause appearing, it is ordered that the appellant is granted to and including July 6, 1998, to request correction of the record on appeal. Counsel for appellant is ordered to notify the Clerk of the Supreme Court in writing as soon as the act as to which the Court has granted an extension of time has been completed.

No further extensions of time will be granted.

S063612 Sharon P., Appellant

v.

Arman, Limited et al., Respondents

On application of amici curiae California Contract Security Guard Association, et al. and good cause appearing, it is ordered that the time to serve and file their amicus curiae brief in support is extended to and including June 25, 1998.

An answer thereto may be served and filed by any party on or before July 15, 1998.

S064794 In re Lester Ochoa

on  
Habeas Corpus

On application of petitioner and good cause appearing, it is ordered that the time to serve and file petitioner's reply to informal response to the petition for writ of habeas corpus is extended to and including July 6, 1998.

S068360      In re William A. Noguera  
                on  
                Habeas Corpus

On application of respondent and good cause appearing, it is ordered that the time to serve and file respondent's informal response to the petition for writ of habeas corpus is extended to and including July 6, 1998.

S070227      In re Noel Jackson  
                    on  
                    Habeas Corpus

On application of respondent and good cause appearing, it is ordered that the time to serve and file respondent's informal response to the petition for writ of habeas corpus is extended to and including July 30, 1998.

S004725 People, Respondent  
Crim. v.  
25694 Royal Kenneth Hayes, Appellant

The application of appellant for permission to file a late reply brief is granted.

S070597 Horace Edwards Kelly, Petitioner  
v.  
Marin County Superior Court, Respondent  
People, Real Party in Interest

The application to file “supplement to petition for writ of mandate, habeas corpus or other extraordinary relief,” received on May 29, 1998 and considered by the court in conjunction with the order filed in this matter on June 2, 1998, is GRANTED and shall be deemed filed as of May 29, 1998.

S070805 Andre Lee, Petitioner  
v.  
Alameda County Superior Court, Respondent

People, Real Party in Interest

The above entitled matter is transferred to the Court of Appeal, First Appellate District.

1st Dist.  
A081919

In re David Wayne Johns and Richard V. Davis  
on  
Habeas Corpus

The above-entitled matter, now pending in the Court of Appeal, First Appellate District, Division One, is transferred to Court of Appeal, Fourth Appellate District, Division One.

Bar  
Misc.  
4186

In the Matter of the Application of the Committee of Bar Examiners of the State of California for Admission of Attorneys

The written motion of the Committee of Bar Examiners that the following named applicants, who have fulfilled the requirements for admission to practice law in the State of California, be admitted to the practice of law in this state is hereby granted, with permission to the applicants to take the oath before a competent officer at another time and place:

(LIST OF NAMES ATTACHED TO ORIGINAL ORDER)

S062313

In the Matter of Suspension of Attorneys

Pursuant to Rule 962, California Rules of Court

**Todd Robert Corren**, #85790, was listed by the State Department of Social Services as being in arrears in payment of support obligations. He later obtained the necessary release from the appropriate District Attorney. He has subsequently been identified by the Department of Social Services as again being delinquent. Pursuant to Rule 962(a) of the California Rules of Court, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that **Todd Robert Corren**, be suspended from membership in the State Bar of California and from the rights and privileges of an attorney to act from and after **July 8, 1998**.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that upon receipt by the State Bar of California of a release issued by the appropriate District Attorney pursuant to subdivision (1) of section 11350.6 of the Welfare and Institutions Code, the State Bar shall certify the fact of the receipt of such release to the Clerk of the Supreme Court and the suspension shall be terminated by order of this Court and he shall be fully restored to membership in the State Bar of California, and to all rights and privileges, duties and responsibilities incident thereto;

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that until restored as above provided, he shall be precluded from practicing as an attorney at law, or an attorney or agent of another in and before all the courts, commissions and tribunals of this state, and from holding himself out to the public as an attorney or counsel at law.

S059441 In re **Michael T. Morrissey** on Discipline

It is ordered that **Michael T. Morrissey** be suspended from the practice of law for six months, that execution of suspension be stayed, and that he be placed on probation for one year subject to the conditions of probation, including restitution, recommended by the Hearing Department of the State Bar Court in its Order Approving Stipulation filed January 13, 1998. It is further ordered that he take and pass the Multistate Professional Responsibility Examination within one year after the effective date of this order. (See *Segretti v. State Bar* (1976) 15 Cal.3d 878, 891, fn. 8.) Costs are awarded to the State Bar pursuant to Business and Professions Code section 6086.10 payable in accordance with Business and Professions Code section 6140.7, as amended effective January 1, 1997.

S069031 In re **Richard Alan Eldridge** on Discipline

It is ordered that **Richard Alan Eldridge** be suspended from the practice of law for one year, that execution of suspension be stayed, and that he be placed on probation for two years subject to the conditions of probation recommended by the Hearing Department of the State Bar Court in its order approving stipulation filed January 13, 1998, as modified by its order filed January 30, 1998. Costs are awarded to the State Bar pursuant to Business and Professions Code section 6086.10 payable in accordance with Business and Professions Code section 6140.7 as amended effective January 1, 1997.

S069032 In re **Margot B. Peters** on Discipline

It is hereby ordered that **Margot B. Peters** be disbarred from the practice of law and that her name be stricken from the roll of attorneys. She is also ordered to comply with rule 955, California Rules of Court, and to perform the acts specified in subdivisions (a) and (c) of that rule within 30 and 40 days, respectively, after the date this order is effective.\* Costs are awarded to the State Bar.

\*(See Business & Professions Code, § 6126, subd. (c).)

S069033 In re **Verdene Johnson Anderson** on Discipline

It is hereby ordered that **Verdene Johnson Anderson** be disbarred from the practice of law and that her name be stricken from the roll of attorneys. She is also ordered to comply with rule 955, California Rules of Court, and to perform the acts specified in subdivisions (a) and (c) of that rule within 30 and 40 days, respectively, after the date this order is effective.\* Costs are awarded to the State Bar.

\*(See Business & Professions Code, § 6126, subd. (c).)

S069034 In re **John Paul Walker** on Discipline

It is ordered that **John Paul Walker** be suspended from the practice of law for one year, that execution of suspension be stayed, and that he be placed on probation for one year subject to the conditions of probation, including six months actual suspension, as recommended by the Hearing Department of the State Bar Court in its order regarding the stipulation filed February 10, 1998. It is further ordered that he comply with rule 955, California Rules of Court, and that he perform the acts specified in subdivisions (a) and (c) of that rule within 30 and 40 days, respectively, after the date this order is effective.\* Costs are awarded to the State Bar pursuant to Business and Professions Code section 6086.10 and those cost are payable in accordance with section 6140.7 (as amended effective January 1, 1997).

S069035 In re **John A. Francis** on Discipline

It is hereby ordered that **John A. Francis** be disbarred from the practice of law and that his name be stricken from the roll of attorneys. He is also ordered to comply with rule 955, California Rules of Court, and to perform the acts specified in subdivisions (a) and (c) of that rule within 30 and 40 days, respectively, after the date this order is effective.\* Costs are awarded to the State.

\*(See Business & Professions Code, § 6126, subd. (c).)

S069036 In re **Stanley Alan Grumet** on Discipline

It is ordered that **Stanley Alan Grumet** be suspended from the practice of law for three years, that execution of said suspension be stayed, and that he be placed on probation for four years on condition that he be actually suspended for two years and until he has shown proof satisfactory to the State Bar Court of his rehabilitation, fitness to practice and learning and ability in the

general law pursuant to standard 1.4(c)(ii), Standards for Attorney Sanctions for Professional Misconduct. Credit against the period of actual suspension shall be given for the period of involuntary inactive enrollment which commenced November 30, 1997. (Business and Professions Code section 6007(d)(3).) He is further ordered to comply with the other conditions of probation recommended by the Hearing Department of the State Bar Court in its decision filed November 25, 1997, as amended by its order filed December 29, 1997. He is also ordered that he comply with rule 955, California Rules of Court, and that he perform the acts specified in subdivisions (a) and (c) of that rule within 30 and 40 days, respectively, after the date this order is effective.\* Costs are awarded to the State Bar pursuant to Business and Professions Code section 6086.10 and are payable in accordance with section 6140.7 (as amended effective January 1, 1997).

\*(See Business & Professions Code, § 6126, subd. (c).)

S069077 In re **Terrell V. Connor** on Discipline

It is hereby ordered that **Terrell V. Connor** be disbarred from the practice of law and that his name be stricken from the roll of attorneys. Costs are awarded to the State Bar.

S069078 In re **Lawrence Edmond Greenbaum** on Discipline

It is ordered that **Lawrence Edmond Greenbaum** be suspended from the practice of law for ninety days, that execution of suspension be stayed, and that he be placed on probation for one year subject to the conditions of probation of probation recommended by the Hearing Department of the State Bar Court in its order regarding the stipulation filed February 12, 1998. It is further ordered that he take and pass the Multistate Professional Responsibility Examination within one year after the effective date of this order. (See *Segretti v. State Bar* (1976) 15 Cal.3d 878, 891, fn. 8.) Costs are awarded to the State Bar pursuant to Business and Professions Code section 6086.10 and those costs are payable in accordance with section 6140.7 (as amended effective January 1, 1997).

S069079 In re **Edward D. Donovan** on Discipline

It is ordered that **Edward D. Donovan** be suspended from the practice of law for two years and until he has shown proof satisfactory to the State Bar Court of his rehabilitation, fitness to



practice and learning and ability in the general law pursuant to standard 1.4(c)(ii), Standards for Attorney Sanctions for Professional Misconduct, that execution of suspension be stayed, and that he be placed on probation for two years subject to the conditions of probation, including one year actual suspension, recommended by the Hearing Department of the State Bar Court in its decision filed December 23, 1997. It is further ordered that he comply with rule 955, California Rules of Court, and that he perform the acts specified in subdivisions (a) and (c) of that rule within 30 and 40 days, respectively, after the date this order is effective.\* Costs are awarded to the State Bar pursuant to Business and Professions Code section 6086.10 and are payable in accordance with Business and Professions Code section 6140.7 (as amended effective January 1, 1997).

\*(See Business & Professions Code, § 6126, subd. (c).)

S069080

In re **David Lee Karlson** on Discipline

It is ordered that **David Lee Karlson** be suspended from the practice of law for six months, that execution of suspension be stayed, and that he be placed on probation for two years subject to the conditions of probation recommended by the Hearing Department of the State Bar Court in its decision filed January 7, 1998. Costs are awarded to the State Bar pursuant to Business and Professions Code section 6086.10 payable in accordance with Business and Professions Code section 6140.7 (as amended effective January 1, 1997).

S069081

In re **Milton Kerlan, Jr.** on Discipline

It is ordered that **Milton Kerlan, Jr.** be suspended from the practice of law for one year, that execution of suspension be stayed, and that he be placed on probation for two year subject to the conditions of probation, including restitution, recommended by the Hearing Department of the State Bar Court in its Order Approving Stipulation filed February 5, 1998. It is further ordered that he take and pass the Multistate Professional Responsibility Examination within one year after the effective date of this order. (See *Segretti v. State Bar* (1976) 15 Cal.3d 878, 891, fn. 8.) Costs are awarded to the State Bar pursuant to Business and Professions Code section 6086.10 and are payable in accordance with Business and Professions Code section 6140.7 (as amended effective January 1, 1997).

S069083 In re **Robert G. Foytack** on Discipline

It is ordered that **Robert G. Foytack** be suspended from the practice of law for four years and until he has shown proof satisfactory to the State Bar Court of his rehabilitation, fitness to practice and learning and ability in the general law pursuant to standard 1.4(c)(ii), Standards for Attorney Sanctions for Professional Misconduct, that execution of suspension be stayed, and that he be placed on probation for four years on condition that he be actually suspended for 30 months and until he has shown proof satisfactory to the State Bar Court of his rehabilitation, fitness to practice and learning and ability in the general law pursuant to standard 1.4(c)(ii), Standards for Attorney Sanctions for Professional Misconduct. He is further ordered to comply with the other conditions of probation recommended by the Hearing Department of the State Bar Court in its Order regarding stipulation filed January 28, 1998. It is also ordered that he take and pass the Multistate Professional Responsibility Examination during the period of his actual suspension. (See *Segretti v. State Bar* (1976) 15 Cal.3d 878, 891, fn. 8.) He is further ordered to comply with rule 955, California Rules of Court, and perform the acts specified in subdivisions (a) and (c) of that rule within 30 and 40 days, respectively, after the date this order is effective.\* Costs are awarded to the State Bar pursuant to Business and Professions Code section 6086.10 and those costs are payable in accordance with section 6140.7 (as amended effective January 1, 1997).

\*(See Business & Professions Code, § 6126, subd. (c).)

S069084 In re **Sandra Sue Sawyer** on Discipline

It is ordered that **Sandra Sue Sawyer** be suspended from the practice of law for three years, that execution of suspension be stayed, and that she be placed on probation for three years, subject to the conditions of probation, including 18 months actual suspension, recommended by the Review Department of the State Bar Court in its opinion filed December 16, 1997. Credit toward the period of actual suspension shall be given for the period of interim suspension which commenced on October 12, 1995. (*In re Young* (1989) 49 Cal.3d 257, 270.) It is also ordered that she take and pass the Multistate Professional Responsibility Examination within one year after the effective date of this order. (See *Segretti v. State Bar* (1976) 15 Cal.3d 878, 891, fn. 8.) Costs are awarded to the State Bar pursuant to Business and Professions Code section 6086.10

payable in accordance with Business and Professions Code section 6140.7, as amended effective January 1, 1997.

